

# Statement of Common Ground with Natural England (draft)

Revision 23 (tracked)

October 2025

# **RWE**

# Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Purpose of this document	
1.2	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	
1.3	Terminology	
2	RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT	3
2.1	Summary of consultation and engagement	
3	CURRENT POSITION	6
4	SIGNATURES	32

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared to support the Examination of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the 'DCO Application') for Peartree Hill Solar Farm (the 'Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The DCO Application is for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) for the construction, operation (including maintenance) and decommissioning of a solar photovoltaic (PV) array electricity generating facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure which would allow for the generation and export of electricity.
- 1.1.3 The SoCG is a 'live' document that has been prepared collaboratively by the Applicant and the Consultee (Natural England).
- 1.1.4 The SoCG has been prepared in accordance with the Guidance for examination of DCO applications which was published in 2024 by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities<sup>1</sup>.
- 1.1.5 This Guidance comments that:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree, or indeed disagree. A SoCG helps to ensure that the evidence at examination focuses on the material differences between the main parties and therefore makes best use of the lines of questioning pursued by the Examining Authority'.

1.1.6 The aim of this SoCG is to therefore provide a clear position of the progress and agreement made or not yet made between the Applicant and Natural England on matters relating to the Proposed Development. Where matters are yet to be agreed, the parties will continue to proactively work to reach agreement.

<sup>1</sup> Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024)

1.1.7 The SoCG will be updated as more information becomes available and as a result of ongoing discussions between the Applicant and Natural England.

### 1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) the Applicant and (2) Natural England.
- 1.2.2 Collectively, the Applicant and Natural England are referred to as 'the parties'.

### 1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 Section 3 of this document sets out the relevant matters raised though discussion between the parties. It provides a summary of the position of each party and identifies the status of discussions on each matter:
  - "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved between the parties and is not anticipated to be subject to further discussions;
  - "Under discussion" indicates where a matter remains in active dialogue between the parties and a final position has not yet been reached;
  - "Not Agreed" indicates where the parties have established a final position that they cannot resolve the matter and will remain a point of difference.

# 2 Record of Engagement

### 2.1 Summary of consultation and engagement

2.1.1 The parties have been engaged in consultation and engagement throughout the development of the Proposed Development. **Table 1** shows a summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between the Applicant and Natural England in relation to the Proposed Development. This is limited to engagement which is materially relevant to the contents of this SoCG and does not seek to include every correspondence between the parties (e.g. that which was primarily administrative).

Table 1: Record of Engagement since February 2024

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
February – March 2024	To seek advice regarding the approach to bird surveys	Email correspondence to discuss existing bird survey data, surveys completed to date, and proposed future surveys.
March – April 2024	To consult on a draft version of the wintering bird survey report	Email correspondence to discuss a draft version of the wintering bird survey report (subsequently developed into the submitted ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.4: Wintering Bird Survey Report [APP-108]).
15 July 2024	To seek advice in relation to Habitats Regulations Assessment work.	Online meeting to discuss the methodology for assessment work and potential mitigation and enhancement measures in relation to European site qualifying species and functionally linked land.
July – September 2024	To consult on a draft version of the Agricultural Land Classification Report.	Email correspondence to discuss a draft version of the Agricultural Land Classification Report (subsequently developed into the submitted ES Volume 4, Appendix 10.2: Agricultural Land Classification Report [APP-127]) and potential impacts on Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land.
November 2024 – January 2025	To provide an update on the project, to outline how Natural England's statutory consultation	Email correspondence to consult on the following documents:  Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy (for consultation purposes only - contents

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	comments had been addressed in the relevant DCO Application documentation and to reach agreement on any outstanding issues prior to submission.	were subsequently incorporated into the submitted Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (Outline LEMP) [APP-156]);  • A draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment (later developed into the submitted Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145]); and  • A draft version of the Outline Soil Management Plan covering the grid connection cable route (later incorporated into the submitted Outline Soil Management Plan (Outline SMP) [APP-159]).
14 January 2025	To consult on the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy and the draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment.	Online meeting to discuss Natural England's comments on the Biodiversity Mitigation Strategy and the draft version of the Habitats Regulations Assessment – Information to Inform Appropriate Assessment (shared on 25 November 2024).
3 February 2025	To provide comments in relation to glint and glare.	Email from Natural England providing points for consideration in relation to glint and glare.
March – April 2025	To clarify points in relation to the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-156].	Email correspondence to address Natural England's queries on the submitted Outline LEMP [APP-156] in relation to the 'bird days' calculation and the amount of mitigation land proposed for European site qualifying bird species.
21 May 2025	Kick-off progress meeting for the Pre-examination/ Examination period.	Online meeting to discuss Natural England's relevant representation responses and how the Applicant proposes to address them.
21 July 2025	To share the grid connection bird survey report.	Email from the Applicant to share the Grid Connection Bird Survey Report [EN010157/APP/8.4].
July 2025	To set out the Applicant's proposed responses to	Email correspondence to share an updated draft version of the submitted

Date	Purpose of engagement	Description
	some matters in Natural England's relevant representation.	Outline LEMP [APP-156] and the submitted Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [APP-145].
4 September 2025	To consult with Natural England on proposed amendments to permissive path routes to minimise disturbance to mitigation areas.	Email from the Applicant explaining the proposed amendments to permissive path routes (later set out in the <b>Third</b> notification of proposed changes to the DCO Application [AS-017]).
11 September 2025	To discuss the key outstanding issues from Natural England's forthcoming Written Representations.	Online meeting to discuss the key outstanding issues from Natural England's Written Representations prior to submission of the document at Deadline 2, including the proposed amendments to permissive path routes.
15 October 2025	To discuss outstanding issues within Natural England's representations and this Statement of Common Ground.	Online meeting to discuss remaining 'under discussion' matters and to try and reach agreement where possible.

## 3 Current Position

- 3.1.1 The table below provides a summary of the current position of the Applicant and Natural England in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date.
- 3.1.2 Where a matter is not represented in the table, it should be assumed that it is either: (i) agreed between the parties and has not been the subject of detailed discussion; or (ii) not relevant to the discussion between the parties.
- 3.1.3 As noted above, this is a 'live' document and there are some aspects that are still under discussion between the parties. The intention is to provide a final position in subsequent versions of the SoCG, addressing and identifying where changes have been made and where agreement has been reached between the parties.

Table 2: Current position of the Applicant and Natural England in relation to specific matters that have been under discussion to date

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Interna	tional designated site	es - surveys		
NE01	Bird surveys – Land Areas Biodiversity	In their relevant representation [RR-012] (NE1e), Natural England considers that the bird survey effort for the Land Areas is acceptable to inform the assessment of impacts from loss of functionally linked land due to factors such as the number of surveys undertaken and the results obtained.	The Applicant welcomes this response. See ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision 2], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.4: Wintering Bird Survey Report [APP-108], ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.5: Ornithological Survey Report [APP-109], and ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.9: Passage Bird Survey Report [APP-113] for details.	Agreed
NE02	Bird surveys – grid connection cable route  Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1e) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomesd the provision of the Grid Connection Bird Survey Report and the inclusion of the new data in the HRA but - However, Natural England advisesd that further assessment should be provided to determine whether the proposed measures are sufficient in the context of the updated bird survey results, particularly regarding potential impacts to pink-footed geese. Natural England notes that noise and visual disturbance impacts resulting from temporary loss of and disturbance to functionally linked land will need to be considered.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4REP3-014] has been updated to provides further consideration in relation to pink-footed goose recorded within the grid connection cable route. During the 2024/25 bird surveys, pink-footed goose were seen flying over on four occasions and were only recorded twice within the Order Limits. Although the numbers recorded within the Order Limits were more than 1% of the SPA population, the grid connection cable route is not considered to	Agreed Un der discussion

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			footed goose given that they were not regularly	
		In their additional submission in lieu of	recorded, no Wetland Bird Survey alerts have	
		attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	been triggered for this species on the Humber	
		(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	Estuary, and their numbers within the Humber	
		that based on the updated information	have shown increases in recent years	
		provided, it agrees that pink-footed geese will	(following the national trend	
		not be significantly affected by disturbance/		
		displacement from functionally linked land	The updated Habitats Regulations	
		within the grid connection corridor during	Assessment - Information to inform	
		construction. This is due to factors including	Appropriate Assessment	
		the short-term nature of the construction	[EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4] is submitted	
		works within the grid connection corridor and	at Deadline 3. ).	
		the highly mobile nature of pink-footed	_	
		geese. The agreed non-breeding bird		
		mitigation areas will also provide additional		
		feeding areas for this species during this		
		period. Although Natural England does not		
		agree with the justification provided, it does		
		agree with the conclusion of no impacts on		
		geese.		
		Natural England considers this matter to be		
		resolved.		
		_		
		Natural England will review the updated		
		information and provide comments at		
		Deadline 4.		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Internat	ional designated site	s – mitigation areas		
NE03	Core mitigation areas and the implementation of a buffer  Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1a), Natural England noted that some further assessment of the habitat suitability and the capacity of each mitigation area to deliver for the intended species hads been provided. However, Natural England adviseds that further details weare needed regarding the management and monitoring measures to be implemented, before it can could concur with the conclusion that the mitigation areas are sufficient to support the required bird numbers and species. Natural England advised that the required details can could be provided through responses to issues NE1b, NE1c, NE1d, NE1e and NE1g in its written representation [REP2-154] but also requested that an overall conclusion justifying the suitability of the site should be provided.  In an online meeting on 11 September 2025, Natural England acknowledged that it was not possible to physically extend the capacity of mitigation areas at this stage, but the capacity can be increased through effective	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7REP1-056] that was submitted at Deadline 1 provideds further assessment of the suitability and the potential carrying capacity of each mitigation area to deliver mitigation for the intended species.  The Applicant has provided an overall conclusion justifying the suitability of the Site in its Response to Deadline 2 Submissions and Additional Information [REP3-039EN010157/APP/8.14], which is submitted at Deadline 3. That document also contains detailed answers to issues NE1b NE1c, NE1d, NE1e and NE1g from Natural England's written representation [REP2-154] and sets out how the updated Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 76], which is submitted at Deadline 3, has been amended to aaddresses each respective issue.	Agreed Un der discussion

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		management and monitoring of the mitigation		
		areas.		
		In an online meeting on 15 October 2025,		
		Natural England confirmed that it was		
		satisfied that the Applicant had suitably		
		addressed this matter by providing further		
		details on the management and monitoring		
		measures to be implemented.		
		Natural England will review the updated		
		information and provide comments at		
NEGA	5	Deadline 4.		
NE04	Permissive paths	In their relevant representation [RR-012]	As set out in the Third notification of proposed	Under
	and the proposed	(NE1b), Natural England noted that Figure	changes to the DCO Application [AS-017], the	discussion
	mitigation areas	3.4 Indicative Environmental Masterplan	Applicant is proposing amendments to the	
	Die die ee weiter	[APP-058] detailed proposed permissive	permissive path routes around mitigation	
	Biodiversity	walking routes around mitigation areas 9 and	areas, including mitigation areas 9 (Field E6)	
		11 and advised that the impact of these	and 11 (Field D18), to address this matter,	
		paths on the functionality of the proposed	following consultation with Natural England.	
		mitigation areas should be assessed in the	The Applicant is also proposing to install 1m-	
		HRA. Natural England confirmed via email on 10 September 2025 that overall, it welcomes	high post and rail fencing with wire mesh between relevant sections of permissive path	
		•	· · ·	
		, , , , ,	, ,	
			, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		the Applicant's proposed changes to address this matter, specifically the proposed removal of the permissive path loops around mitigation area 9 (Fields D18) and 11 (Field	and mitigation areas to prevent path users and dogs straying into the mitigation areas, along with appropriate signage (to provide footpath users with information on the ecological	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		E6). Natural England advised that stock proof	importance of the mitigation areas and the	
		fencing should be considered to separate	countryside code which includes ensuring all	
		permissive path users from all mitigation	dogs are kept on a lead).	
		areas to prevent dogs from entering the	Chauld the proposed changes be assented by	
		mitigation areas.	Should the proposed changes be accepted by the Examining Authority, relevant documents	
			would be updated as necessary and submitted	
			at subsequent deadlines.	
			at subsequent dedunites.	
			The Applicant will continue to engage with	
			Natural England on this matter.	
NE05	Hydrology of the	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	<u>Agreed</u> Un
	proposed	(NE1c) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision	<del>der</del>
	mitigation areas	England welcomed the further information	7REP1-056] that was submitted at Deadline 1	discussion
		provided regarding the hydrology and soil	include <u>s</u> d <del>further</del> information regarding the	
	Hydrology and	types within the proposed scrape mitigation	viability of the proposed scrapes based on	
	Flood Risk	areas, and the predicted suitability of the	known information on the current hydrological	
		locations for scrape creation. Natural	statuses and permeability of soils in Mitigation	
		England concurs that mitigation areas 11 and	Areas 11 (Field E6), 13 (Fields E13/E14) and 9	
		13 are likely to be suitable for scrape creation and agrees that appropriate micro-siting of	(Field D18). An initial review indicates that Mitigation Areas 11 and 13 are suitable	
		the scrapes can be determined by pre-	locations to create scrapes successfully.	
		construction hydrological studies.	Further assessment was is provided in the	
			updated Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5	
		In their additional submission in lieu of	Revision 7REP1-056] that was submitted at	
		attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	Deadline 1 regarding the suitability and the	
		(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	potential carrying capacity of each mitigation	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		that the Applicant had sufficiently addressed	area to deliver mitigation for the intended	
		its requestHowever, Natural England advises	species and to set out that pre-construction	
		that for further information should to be	hydrological studies are proposed to determine	
		provided regarding the 'suitable mitigation'	the exact locations of the scrapes within the	
		measures that will be implemented, to ensure	mitigation areas. The <del>updated</del> <b>Outline LEMP</b>	
		that the scrapes will remain hydrologically	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 76], which is	
		isolated from the wider retained under-field	submitted at Deadline 3, secures that scrapes	
		drains because if scrape excavation goes	will be implemented, monitored and maintained	
		through the pipes or sits above them, the	to ensure suitable wetland habitat is in place	
		scrapes are unlikely to hold sufficient water.	for the duration of construction and operational	
		Natural England will review the updated	phases of the Proposed Development.	
		information and provide comments at		
		<del>Deadline 4.</del>	The <del>updated</del> <b>Habitats Regulations</b>	
			Assessment - Information to inform	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	Appropriate Assessment	
		be resolved.	[EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4REP3-014]	
			includes cross-references to relevant sections	
			of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5	
			Revision 76].	
NE06	Management of	In their additional submission in lieu of	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	<u>Agreed</u> Un
	the proposed	attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision	der
	mitigation areas	(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	<b>76</b> ] has been updated to addresses each bullet	discussion
		that the Applicant had satisfactorily	point from item 1ad in Natural England's	
	Biodiversity	addressed its request set out in its written	written representation [REP2-154]. Details of	
		representation [REP2-154] (NE1d) seeking	how these points have been addressed are set	
		<u>further information on monitoring and</u>	out in the Applicant's Response to Deadline 2	
		management of bird mitigation areas.	Submissions and Additional Information	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Ref	Topic	Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.  Although not a material concern, Natural England recommended that the remedial measures in Tables 15-3 and 16-3 of the Outline LEMP should be revised to incorporate 'appropriate measures to	Applicant's Position  [EN010157/APP/8.14REP3-039], which is submitted at Deadline 3.  The Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 7] has been updated, and is submitted at Deadline 4, to amend the proposed remedial actions in relation to increasing invertebrate numbers in line with Natural England's recommendations.	Status
		increase invertebrate numbers', such as muck spreading, grazing animals or other locally appropriate methods. Muck spreading relies upon a suitable source of livestock manure, so other options to increase invertebrate numbers should be explored where necessary.written representation [REP2-154] (NE1d), Natural England	The final management and monitoring protocol in the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan will be agreed in consultation with Natural England at the detailed design stage.  The updated Outline LEMP	
		welcomes that further information on monitoring and management has been included in the updated Outline LEMP. However, Natural England:  advises that the maximum sward height should be 10cm during winter;	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6] is submitted at Deadline 3.	
		<ul> <li>requests clarification on how national trends will be considered in assessment</li> </ul>		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		of bird numbers and 'success' of the		
		mitigation areas;		
		<ul> <li>advises that it may be appropriate to</li> </ul>		
		consider increasing the frequency of bird		
		monitoring for years 3-10, to provide		
		confidence that the site is experiencing		
		adequate bird usage and to allow for the		
		early implementation of remedial		
		measures if needed; and		
		<ul> <li>requests clarification of 'the right habitat</li> </ul>		
		conditions' and specific remedial action in		
		relation to the following text in the Outline		
		LEMP: "If the number of birds fall below		
		the baseline conditions when taking into		
		consideration national trends, the		
		Applicant should ensure habitat		
		management is providing the right habitat		
		and conditions as outlined in the		
		Landscape and Ecological Management		
		Plan."		
		Natural England also advises that a		
		monitoring report should be produced		
		following each of the completed surveys and		
		that these reports should be submitted to the		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		Local Planning Authority for review, with Natural England consulted if the site is failing to meet its targets.		
		Natural England will review the updated information and provide comments at Deadline 4.		
NE07	'Bird days' calculation methodology  Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1f), Natural England welcomed the clarification provided in Appendix E of the Outline LEMP, having previously requested that the lapwing and golden plover 'bird days' requirements be considered separately in order to ensure that the mitigation provided is sufficiently large enough to deliver for the combined number of both species recorded.  Natural England considers this matter to now	The Applicant welcomes this response. The 'bird days' calculations within Appendix E of the updated <b>Outline LEMP [REP1-056]</b> that was submitted at Deadline 1 were redone to consider lapwing and golden plover separately and to take account of the data in the <b>Grid Connection Cable Route Bird Survey Report [REP1-072]</b> , which was also submitted at Deadline 1.	Agreed
NE07a	In combination impacts  Biodiversity	be resolved.  In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE1g), Natural England notesd that Carr Farm Solar Farm, which is situated adjacent to mitigation area 11, hasd recently been consented. Natural England advisesd, therefore, that further assessment should be provided in the in-combination assessment	The Applicant welcomes this response. The proposed mitigation areas for Carr Farm Solar Farm are directly adjacent to mitigation area 13 (Fields E13 and E14) and therefore compliment the Proposed Development's proposed mitigation.	AgreedUn der discussion

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		to impact on openness and sightlines for	Although mitigation area 11 (Field E6) is	
		mitigation area 11.	adjacent to a proposed solar panel area for	
			Carr Farm Solar Farm on its western edge, the	
		Additionally, Natural England advises <u>d</u> that	two are separated by Holderness Drain, either	
		the in-combination assessment should	side of which are tall hedgerows that will	
		consider recently submitted planning	provide screening from the proposed solar PV	
		application 25/02275/STPLF, which is	modules. It is therefore considered that	
		situated in close proximity to the site, and	mitigation area 11 (Field E6) is still appropriate.	
		includes land within the red line boundary.	Section 7.2 of the <b>Habitats Regulations</b>	
			Assessment - Information to inform	
		In an online meeting on 15 October 2025,	Appropriate Assessment [REP3-	
		Natural England confirmed that the Applicant	014EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4] has been	
		had suitably addressed its requests via	updated to includes further information	
		updates to the in-combination assessment in	explaining why the consented Carr Farm Solar	
		the HRA.	Farm will not affect the functionality of	
			mitigation area 11 (Field E6).	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	T 11 0 4 60 11 11 4 5 1 6	
		be resolved.	Table 8-1 of the <b>Habitats Regulations</b>	
			Assessment - Information to inform	
			Appropriate Assessment	
			[EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4REP3-014]	
			has been updated to includes planning	
			application 25/02275/STPLF in the in-	
			combination assessment. However, it is worth	
			noting that the application has not yet provided sufficient information to identify potential	
			,	
			impacts to European designated sites.	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			The updated Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4] is submitted at Deadline 3.	
Interna	tional designated site	s – potential noise and visual disturbance impac	ts to functionally linked land	
NE08	Potential noise and visual disturbance impacts to functionally linked land for relevant qualifying bird features of the listed SPA/Ramsar sites during construction  Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE2a), Natural England welcomed that, as set out in the HRA [REP1-015] and secured in the Outline CEMP [REP1-050], completion of activities most likely to disturb birds (e.g. piling) will be undertaken outside of the period October to March within the fields adjacent to the mitigation areas (i.e. Fields E4, E5, E15, E17 and D17) and that should this not be possible, acoustic barriers will be installed for the construction period. Natural England confirmed that consideration of noise and visual disturbance to the mitigation areas is now resolved.  In their additional submission in lieu of	The Applicant welcomes this response. The pattern of bird activity within and adjacent to the Order Limits, including the grid connection cable route was for small numbers of birds being widely distributed with no particular hotspots. The Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4REP3-014] has considered that the agricultural land within these areas comprises functionally linked land for golden plover, lapwing, mallard, teal, and black-headed gull, and that on a precautionary basis, construction noise (albeit short term and temporary) could cause disturbance/displacement of these species. The Habitats	Agreed Un der discussion
		attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed that further noise modelling is not required	Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment  [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4REP3-014]	
		regarding the potential impacts of noisy	has been amended (see paragraphs 7.3.15 to	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		works on areas where birds have been	7.3.17) to-clarifyes that the measures included	
		recorded in significant numbers outside of	in the Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2	
		the Order Limits and in the grid connection	Revision 65] will be implemented to mitigate	
		corridor based on the additional information	the effect of disturbance/ displacement of	
		provided by the Applicant and the	relevant bird features of the Humber Estuary	
		implementation of agreed noise mitigation	SPA/Ramsar within and adjacent to the Order	
		measures included in the Outline CEMP.	Limits, including the grid connection cable	
			route, as well as the mitigation areas. This list	
		Natural England suggests that However,	includes the measures suggested by Natural	
		Natural England advises that a detailed	England.	
		assessment is still needed regarding the		
		potential impacts of noisy works on areas	The Applicant notes Natural England's	
		where birds have been recorded in significant	confirmation that consideration of noise and	
		numbers outside of the Order Limits and in	visual disturbance to the mitigation areas is	
		the grid connection corridor.	now resolved. Therefore, birds will have	
			alternate areas to forage if disturbed/displaced	
		It also advises that further assessment	by construction activities, including	
		should be provided in the HRA regarding the	construction activities associated with the grid	
		predicted location of 'noisy' works in the	connection cable route. For these reasons, the	
		context of the bird survey results, to identify	Applicant does not believe that the requirement	
		whether disturbing noise levels from the	to undertake additional noise monitoring in	
		development will reach land utilised by birds	relation to wintering birds is necessary given	
		in significant numbers.	that no particular hotspots for birds have been	
			identified and taking into account the	
		Mmitigation measures may include (one or a	comprehensive suite of mitigation measures	
		combination of) restrictions to timing of	already proposed.	
		works, buffer zones, acoustic screening,		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		piling shrouding or other measures Natural		
		England notes that the HRA [REP1-015]		
		outlines measures to 'mitigate the effect of		
		visual and noise disturbance on birds' and		
		advises that an assessment should be		
		provided of the predicted reduction in noise		
		levels that will occur as a result of the		
		proposed mitigation measures, in order to		
		assess their effectiveness.		
		Natural England will review the updated		
		information and provide comments at		
		Deadline 4. Natural England considers this		
		matter to now be resolved.		
Internat	ional designated site	s – potential disturbance to lamprey		
NE09	Potential	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	disturbance	(NE3) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision	
	impacts to	England welcomed the updated information	<b>5]</b> secures the measures relating to distance of	
	lamprey	provided in the HRA and confirmed	HDD pits from Main Rivers, depth of HDD	
		agreement with the conclusions that there	under the River Hull and insulation of cabling	
	Biodiversity	would not be adverse effects on the integrity	under the River Hull.	
		of the Humber Estuary SAC/ Ramsar		
		resulting from vibration/ noise disturbance	While the Applicant cannot commit to	
		impact to lamprey resulting from HDD or	restrictions on timing of the HDD works under	
		electromagnetic field impacts once proposed	the River Hull at this stage, given that	
		mitigation is taken into account (i.e. HDD pits	construction phasing has not been finalised, it	
		would be positioned a minimum of 50m from	will adhere to these timings where possible. In	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		the River Hull; HDD under the River Hull	the unlikely event that it is not possible to avoid	
		would be at a minimum depth of 7m; cabling	the lamprey migration period, no adverse	
		under the River Hull would include an	effects are anticipated, given that the HDD	
		insulating layer made of XLPE, also known	under the River Hull would be at a minimum	
		as cross-linked polyethylene, or similar; and	depth of 7m, short-term (estimated to take a	
		the preferred timing of the HDD work would	maximum of 24 hours), and that fish without a	
		be spring/ summer, i.e. April to September, to	swim bladder (such as lamprey) have the	
		avoid the peak lamprey migration period).	lowest sensitivity to noise/ vibration. See the	
			Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	Information to inform Appropriate	
		be resolved.	Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4]	
			for details.	
		s – potential water quality impacts		
NE10	Potential	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	Agreed
	abstraction	(NE4) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural	updated Habitats Regulations Assessment -	
	impacts resulting	England confirmed it was satisfied that the	Information to inform the Appropriate	
	from HDD	Applicant had addressed its request for	Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted	
		clarification on the source of water for HDD.	at Deadline 1 confirmed that the water for HDD	
	Biodiversity	Natural England welcomes that the water	will be brought to site and removed mention of	
		required for HDD will be brought to site and	abstraction. No water will be abstracted from	
		not abstracted from the River Hull or a	the River Hull or a tributary of the Humber	
		tributary of the Humber Estuary.	Estuary for the HDD. Wastewater from the	
			HDD wastewater (including bentonite) will be	
		Natural England considers this matter to now	incarcerated within the launch pit and	
		be resolved.	transported to a specialised local facility for	
			disposal. The updated <b>Outline CEMP [REP1-</b>	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			<b>050]</b> that was submitted at Deadline 1 secured	
			these commitments.	
NE11	HDD (bentonite	In their <u>additional submission in lieu of</u>	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	<u>Agreed</u> Un
	breakout)	attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 2	procedures prescribed in the Construction	<del>der</del>
		(ISH2) [AS-018], Natural England confirmed	Environmental Management Plan will be in line	discussion
	Construction	that the Applicant has sufficiently addressed	with the Principal Contractor's method	
		its concerns set out within its written	statements. Natural England will be consulted	
		representation [REP2-154] (NE5) submitted	on bentonite breakout procedures included in	
		at Deadline 2, Natural England noted that a	the Construction Environmental Management	
		in relation to information ongeneral bentonite	Plan prior to construction commencing.	
		breakout management <del>plan was provided</del>		
		inwithin the Outline CEMP [REP1-050],	The measures in the <b>Outline CEMP</b>	
		which includes generic countermeasures,	[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 56] are secured	
		and that further details regarding HDD	by the Construction Environmental	
		breakout management will be included in the	Management Plan under requirement 4 of the	
		Construction Environmental Management	Draft DCO [EN010157/APP/3.1 Revision 78].	
		Plan once a Principal Contractor is		
		appointed. However, Natural England	Natural England has been added as a statutory	
		advises that it should be possible to provide	consultee on the Construction Environmental	
		further details in the Outline CEMP at the	Management Plan, secured under requirement	
		application stage to inform the conclusions in	4 of the <b>Draft DCO [EN010157/APP/3.1</b>	
		the HRA, including:	<b>Revision 78</b> ] in relation to measures relating	
			to bentonite breakout.	
		Natural England considers this matter to now		
		be resolved.		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		<ul> <li>providing the options of what the procedures could be (i.e. Rochdale envelope type approach);</li> <li>assessing is the worst-case scenario to show whether AEoI can be ruled out;</li> <li>confirming that the general HDD practices included in the outline CEMP will be secured, as a minimum; and</li> <li>clarifying how the approach will be decided post-consent.</li> <li>Natural England will review the updated information and provide comments at Deadline 4.</li> </ul>		
NE12	Cleaning of solar PV modules Water Resources	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE6a) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomed the updated information provided in the HRA and Outline OEMP confirming that solar PV modules will be cleaned using deionised water only.  Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted at Deadline 1 confirmed that the solar PV modules will be cleaned using deionised water only and therefore there would be no impacts on water quality as a result of cleaning the solar PV modules. The updated Outline OEMP [REP1-052] that was submitted at Deadline 1	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
			secured the details of solar PV module cleaning.	
NE13	Fire suppression/ protocol Water Resources	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE6b) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England welcomed the updated information provided in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan and HRA confirming that a non-water based fire suppression system would be used. Natural England notes that if water is required, it will only be used to cool areas adjacent to the BESS, and will therefore not contain any chemicals or firefighting compounds after use.  Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated Outline Battery Safety Management Plan [REP1-058] that was submitted at Deadline 1 provided details on the automatic clean agent (aerosol or gas) fire suppression system that would be used in the event of a battery fire. The use of a non-water-based system reduces the need for large volumes of on-site water and reduces the risk of contamination of adjacent habitats from firewater. Water would only be used to cool areas adjacent to a BESS to prevent fire spread, rather than being used to attempt to directly fight a fire within the BESS. The updated Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to Inform the Appropriate Assessment [REP1-015] that was submitted at Deadline 1 included an assessment of potential likely significant effects from the fire suppression system.	Agreed
Nationa	l designated sites			
NE14	Humber Estuary Site of Special	In their written representation <b>[REP2-154]</b> (NE7) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England confirmed it was satisfied that the	The Applicant welcomes this response. The Humber Estuary SSSI is considered as a separate ecological receptor in the updated <b>ES</b>	Agreed

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
	Scientific Interest (SSSI)  Biodiversity	Humber Estuary SSSI has now been scoped into the assessment within ES Chapter 7 as a separate ecological receptor.  Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019] that was submitted at Deadline 1. As agreed with Natural England, where the reasons for the SSSI designation correspond to qualifying features of the Humber Estuary European sites, the assessment includes cross-references to the Habitats Regulations Assessment - Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision 4]. Those features not included on the citations for the European sites are assessed in ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019].	
Protect	ed species			
NE15	Water vole and otter  Biodiversity	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE8a) submitted at Deadline 2, Natural England confirmed that the updated information provided in the Outline CEMP satisfactorily addressed its request in relation to surveys for water vole (that for the smallest, least impactful works surveys should be undertaken within the footprint of the works, including temporary work areas plus 100m upstream and downstream in line	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [REP1-019] and Outline CEMP [REP1-050] that were submitted at Deadline 1 included commitments to undertake:  • Pre-construction water vole surveys 100m upstream and downstream of proposed culverts and watercourse crossing points which affect watercourses assessed as	Agreed
		with the Water Vole Mitigation Handbook guidance) and otter (that surveys should	'suitable but poor to optimal suitability' within <b>ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.7: Water</b>	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		cover 'all suitable habitat within 200m of the proposed works', in line with NatureScot guidelines).	<ul> <li>Vole and Otter Habitat Suitability Report [APP-111]; and</li> <li>Pre-construction otter surveys of suitable habitat within 200m of the proposed works.</li> </ul>	
		Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	, ,	
NE16	Badger	In their <u>submission in lieu of attendance at</u> Issue Specific Hearing 2 (ISH2) [ref], Natural	Table 7.6 of ES Volume 2, Chapter 7: Biodiversity [EN010157/APP/6.2 Revision	Under discussion
	Biodiversity	England noted the additional information provided at Deadline 3 regarding badger in	3REP1-019 that was submitted at Deadline 1 was updated to states that 'where reasonably	
		response to its written representation [REP2-154] (NE8b). However, Natural England advised that the Outline CEMP should	practicable, construction work will not take place within 30m from active badger setts'.	
		include avoidance of works within 30m of badger setts in the first instance. Where this is not possible, the mitigation/licence	As secured within the <b>Outline CEMP</b> [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 56], appropriate badger mitigation will be determined by the	
		requirements detailed should be implemented. Natural England confirmed that	results of the pre-construction badger surveys and will be detailed within the Construction	
		subject to the necessary amendments to the Outline CEMP, this point will be resolved.written representation [REP2-154]	Environmental Management Plan. This will include ensuring that, where reasonably practicable, construction work will not take	
		(NE8b), Natural England noted that 'where reasonably practicable, construction work will	place within 30m from an active badger sett identified during the pre-construction surveys	
		not take place within 30m from a main badger sett'. However, Natural England	without appropriate mitigation. If work needs to occur within 30m (considered unlikely).	
		requested clarification on which construction activities may be permitted under the 'where	mitigation may involve the exclusion of setts under a Natural England licence if assessed as	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		reasonably practicable' exemption. Natural	necessary. The relevant commitment in the	
		England advises that there should be a hard	Outline CEMP [EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision	
		30m limit for piling and other intrusive	<b>56</b> ] has been updated to state that where	
		groundworks.	possible, intrusive groundworks, including hard	
			piling or major excavations, will avoid impact to	
		Natural England also welcomed that	land within 30m of an active badger sett. If	
		paragraph 4.2.3 of the Outline OEMP states	avoidance is not possible, appropriate	
		that "Clearances above ground, or mammal	mitigation would be undertaken in consultation	
		gates, will be included to permit the passage	with Natural England and, if required, setts	
		of wildlife" and consider that this point is now	permanently or temporarily closed under	
		resolved.notes that mammal gates are	licence. confirm that Sshould pre-construction	
		potentially proposed and would welcome	surveys and micro-siting indicate likely	
		clarification on how it will be determined if	disturbance to a sett, then the Applicant would	
		they are required.	apply for the appropriate licence either to live	
			dig under ecological supervision or to	
		Natural England will review the updated	temporarily close the sett.	
		information and provide comments at		
		<del>Deadline 4.</del>	The pre-construction badger survey will	
			determine if badger gates are required and the	
			Construction Environmental Management Plan	
			will provide specific information.	
			The updated <b>Outline CEMP</b>	
			[EN010157/APP/7.2 Revision 56] is submitted	
			at Deadline 34.	

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
NE17	Breeding birds	In their written representation [REP2-154]	The Applicant welcomes this response. The	<u>Agreed</u> Un
		(NE8d), Natural England welcomesd that the	Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision	der
	Biodiversity	Outline LEMP ha <mark>d</mark> s been updated to include	3] that was submitted at Deadline 1 included	discussion
		clear objectives, defined targets, details of	clear objectives, defined targets, details of	
		limits of acceptable change and details of	limits of acceptable change and details of	
		remedial actions.	remedial actions in relation to breeding birds,	
			where appropriate. Clarification has been	
		However, Natural England advises that In an	provided in the updated <b>Outline LEMP</b>	
		online meeting on 15 October 2025, Natural	[EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 6], which is	
		England confirmed that the Applicant had	submitted at Deadline 3, on how national	
		suitably addressed its request for clarification	trends in bird populations will be considered as	
		should be provided on how national trends	part of monitoring and that this will only be one	
		will be considered in the assessment of bird	of a suite of factors that will be considered.	
		numbers and 'success' of the mitigation		
		areas.	With regard to potential disturbance from	
			permissive paths please refer to the	
		_National declines in bird numbers should not	Applicant's response to item NE1b in its	
		remove the need to consider the influence of	Response to Deadline 2 Submissions and	
		site-level factors such as habitat	Additional Information	
		management on bird counts. Consideration	[EN010157/APP/8.14], which is submitted at	
		should also be given to the advice provided	Deadline 3 (also summarised in Ref. N04	
		in NE1b regarding permissive footpaths in	above in this table).	
		the proposed mitigation areas.		
		Natural England considers this matter to now		
		be resolved.		

Status	Applicant's Position	Natural England's Position	Topic	Ref
		Natural England will review the updated information and provide comments at		
		Deadline 4.		
			ersity Net Gain (BNG	Biodive
e contained MP 62.36% w abitats.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The headline figures for BNG, based on the Indicative Environmental Masterplan contained within Appendix D of the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3], are 62.36% for area habitats, 46.48% for hedgerow habitats and 12.50% of watercourse habitats. See ES Volume 4, Appendix 7.10: Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment [APP-114] for more details.	In their relevant representation [RR-012] (NE9), Natural England is satisfied with the Applicant's assessment of BNG. Natural England welcomes that the whole application site within the Order Limits has been included in the BNG assessment, all gains are on-site, and gains will be monitored and managed for the operational life of the Proposed Development. Natural England also welcomes that a precautionary approach has been taken regarding watercourses (i.e. assuming 'good' condition for baseline calculations where river condition assessments were not available). Natural England recommends that recommends that biodiversity net gain of at least 10% BNG across all biodiversity unit types is secured	BNG – general  Biodiversity	NE18
		Natural England considers this matter to now		
_	_	also welcomes that a precautionary approach has been taken regarding watercourses (i.e. assuming 'good' condition for baseline calculations where river condition assessments were not available). Natural England recommends that recommends that biodiversity net gain of at least 10% BNG across all biodiversity unit types is secured via the LEMP.  Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	nd Agricultural Land	Soils ar

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
NE19	Grid connection	In their written representation [REP2-154]	A detailed ALC and soil survey has not been	<u>Under</u>
	cable route ALC	(NE10), Natural England reiterates that it	undertaken of the grid connection cable route	discussion
	and soil surveys	does not consider it best practice to defer a	at this stage. However, the <b>Outline SMP</b>	Not agreed
		detailed ALC and soil survey of the grid	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2] covers the	
	Agricultural Land	connection cable corridor until after final	full Order Limits, including the grid connection	
		design and land-take confirmation. Natural	cable route. As set out in the <b>Outline SMP</b>	
		England recommends a bespoke soil-	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2], it is	
		investigation programme agreed at an early	proposed that detailed soil/land quality surveys	
		stage, proportionate to the site's scale and	of the grid connection cable route will be	
		complexity. Agreeing a truncated survey now	undertaken pre-construction, once the design	
		and postponing comprehensive sampling	and land needed for excavation is confirmed,	
		risks under-estimating local soil variability,	thus avoiding unnecessarily surveying the	
		agricultural sensitivity and reinstatement	entire width of the proposed grid connection	
		challenges and it undermines the integrity of	cable route corridor. The Outline SMP	
		the eventual Soil Management Plan.	[EN010157/APP/7.8 Revision 2] describes the	
			survey work that will be carried out prior to	
		Waiting until later to define survey extents	drafting the Soil Management Plan, and the	
		and depths prevents soil data from informing	consultation process that will be in-built prior to	
		route-optimum design, reinstatement	finalising the Soil Management Plan before	
		commitments or cumulative impact	works commence.	
		assessments. Natural England therefore		
		recommends that the applicant should submit	This is aligned with the approach taken on the	
		a detailed, targeted ALC and soil survey	recently consented East Yorkshire Solar Farm	
		methodology for the entire grid-connection	(EN010143), where Natural England accepted	
		corridor upfront, with sampling intensity,	the approach of undertaking soil and ALC	
		horizon-depth verification and mapping		
		protocols tailored to the route's particular		

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
		land uses. This early agreement will secure robust, project-specific soil inputs and avoid protracted consultations once design is fixed.	surveys of the cable corridor "once the final route has been determined" <sup>2</sup> .	
NE20	Soil handling  Construction	In their written representation [REP2-154] (NE11), Natural England welcomed the updated information provided in the Outline Soil Management Plan stating that soil handling will be principally confined to the period April to October to minimise risk of soil damage. Natural England states that soil handling should normally be avoided during the winter period, irrespective of soil moisture conditions, because it will generally not be possible to establish green cover over winter to help dry out soils and protect them from erosion. Appropriate timing of soil handling would minimise the need to recondition soils, which requires additional space and time. This is particularly important for land to be restored to agricultural use.  Natural England considers this matter to now be resolved.	The Applicant welcomes this response. The updated <b>Outline SMP [REP1-062]</b> that was submitted at Deadline 1 stated April through October as the drier summer period to which soil handling will, so far as possible, principally be confined (it previously incorrectly stated November through April). Soil handling cannot be restricted to only April to September due to programme constraints; however, as stated in the <b>Outline SMP [REP1-062]</b> , should site work during the winter period be unavoidable, the more resilient SHU A soils will be prioritised for working on during this period. Additionally, site inspections of the soil condition prior to vehicle movements across the Site will be required, particularly during wet weather conditions.	Agreed

 $<sup>^2\,\</sup>underline{https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010143/EN010143-000692-Natural\%20England.pdf}$ 

Ref	Topic	Natural England's Position	Applicant's Position	Status
Other				
NE21	Glint and glare (general)  Glint and Glare	Natural England confirmed via email on 8 August 2025 that it is satisfied with the Applicant's response to its advice that post- consent monitoring should include consideration of the potential disruption to flight pathways of qualifying bird species as a result of glint and glare, in order to help inform future assessments. Although Natural England accepts that there is no established methodology for monitoring to study the effects of glint and glare on birds and is not aware of any examples of such monitoring that has previously been undertaken, it suggests that observing bird behaviour around the panels may be appropriate.	The Applicant welcomes this response. Post-consent monitoring of the potential disruption to flight pathways of qualifying bird species due to glint and glare (to help inform future assessments) forms part of the monitoring strategy outlined within the Outline LEMP [EN010157/APP/7.5 Revision 3].  It should be noted that the effect on the integrity of the populations of the qualifying species of Hornsea Mere SPA or Humber Estuary SPA/ Ramsar sites in relation to disruption of flight paths as a result of glint and glare has been assessed as part of the Habitats Regulations Assessment -	Agreed
			Information to inform Appropriate Assessment [EN010157/APP/5.3 Revision	
			2], which concludes no adverse effects.	

# 4 Signatures

# 4.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground is agreed upon: On behalf of Natural England: Name: Signature: On behalf of the Applicant: Name: Signature:

### **RWE Renewables UK Limited**

Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire, England, SN5 6PB www.rwe.com